

Reflections on Exec Mtg. 8/9

- All options discussed but option to put LL back into practice not agreed as 'Review not fully complete'.
- Frustrated + dejected as SH and I felt this was a reasonable suggestion as review of our notes would not be relevant to LL as an individual.
- IH, TC, SC view (and DON) was ~~review was not a~~ most likely would we change actions now when nothing has changed.
- Articulated LL position re: delaying action → put on hold.
- IH articulated that option chosen by AK / SH would be no different with a Dr.
- Need to discuss with KR the current position when He. (tomorrow).
-

Exec Mtg — Future plans 8/9

- Await. for clinical case review.
- maintain status quo — nothing has changed.
- Have discussion with LL re: content of grievance **KR**
- Be clear about elements of grievance — approach internally (not through formal process).
- IH to dpl Ravi re: behaviours, (meeting this week)
- **Child A**
 - * Inquest beginning October 11.
 - Ravi — key witness.
 - 9 Drs. involved. Need to consider implications.
- Grievance Processes — informal.
- * Martin S. to have clin med oversight whilst IH on leave.
- Ravi's timescale??
- SoS process — IH to discuss a SB as he initially raised concerns — would be consistent of other cases.
- * KR provided an update from Ravi for Mtg — poor Dr behaviour + comments to current situation + LL.