

LS – Was it something you discussed with the consultants or with anybody else?

SB – I had a number of meetings with the Execs and Stephen Cross has mentioned calling the police on a number of occasions.

CG – Clarify that at no time did the consultants as a group or individually suggest that if the Executive Board took no action the police would be called?

SB – No.

LS – Before the meeting to discuss the thematic review just before the loss of the first triplet that it was prior to the discussion about Lucy going back on nights. Had Lucy been removed from nights?

SB – Yes three months previously.

LS – Was this in relation to the initial concerns regarding her commonality?

SB – No, Eirian Powell took the decision. I understand that Eirian she was mentoring and looking after Lucy.

CG – There was a view that Lucy was possibly deliberately harming babies do you know why that was – was there anything suspicious about her behaviour?

SB – Not really for me to say.

JB – The answer is no.

SB – No, not my position to speculate – we flagged up to the association to the Execs between staffing and deaths.

JB – Understand why you are asking? It was very clear that they were looking for common denominators. Lucy was on shift as were some consultants.

CG – Was there any suggestions of foul play in any way relating to the babies deaths.

JB - From whom? Suggestion from whom

CG – From the consultants

JB – Can't speak for other consultants only for yourself.

SB – No, wasn't directly involved with the triplets deaths.

JB – Just answer the question - only answer the question.

SB – What was the question?

JB – Can you repeat the question?

CG – It has been said that there was a suggestion of air embolism and twisting of tubes that led to babies' deaths. Was that on the table as a cause of death?

SB – I have never come across a case of air embolism before

JB – No, in this particular case he has asked a specific question as requested. In this particular case was that suggested by you?

SB - No

CG – Any discussions between consultants about air embolism or twisting of tubes?

SB – No official discussions took place in official meetings

CG – Privately?

SB – Not my place to say.

JB – You can answer specifically only for yourself. You cannot comment on colleagues only yourself. Did you specifically have that discussion with anyone? Did you specifically say about an air embolism or a twisting of tubes to anyone?

SB – I didn't personally say that to anyone. I was ~~Wasn't present~~ at the Senior Execs meeting when proposed mechanism ~~was~~ were discussed by Execs.

CG – Regarding Lucy's departure from unit – were any conditions? It was suggested that police would be called if not removed. Do you recall that discussion?

SB – No

CG – Would you have issue If Lucy was returned to the unit?

JB – What is the grievance – we haven't been told or seen any copy

LS – It regarding the rational of why Lucy was redeployed on a temporary basis and whether she would be able to return.

CG – In addition why she was singled out when there are a lot of staff on the unit.

JB – Thank you. Did you hear the question?

SB – Yes, would I have issue If Lucy was returned to the unit? Yes, I don't think our concerns raised with the Execs have been fully answered.

CG – Those questions would be?

SB – The association with her being on shift and the death of the babies.

CG – Any logical reasons why that association might be there.

SB – I'm not a forensic scientist or investigator. There are concerns that I'm not qualified to identify.

CG – Difficulty understanding how you can make a decision that she can't come back based on the evidence.